ON STATIONARY SETS FOR CERTAIN GENERALIZATIONS OF CONTINUITY

JOZEF DOBOŠ

Let X, Y be two topological spaces. Let \mathscr{F} be a class of functions $f: X \to Y$. A subset A of X with the property that whenever $f \in \mathscr{F}$ is constant on A, then f must be constant on X, is said to be a stationary set for \mathscr{F} . Observe that if $\mathscr{F}_1 \subset \mathscr{F}_2$, then each stationary set for \mathscr{F}_2 is also a stationary set for \mathscr{F}_1 . (See [2], p. 199.)

For the basic properties of stationary sets see [1]. A survey of results of stationary sets for derivatives is in [3].

In the present paper we give a complete characterization of stationary sets for certain generalizations of continuity (for somewhat continuous functions and quasi-continuous functions).

Let X, Y be two topological spaces. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be somewhat continuous if for each set $V \subset Y$ open in Y such that $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ there exists a nonempty open set $U \subset X$ so that $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ (see [5]).

A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be quasi-continuous at the point $x_0 \in X$ if for each neighbourhood $U(x_0)$ of the point x_0 (in X) and each neighbourhood $V(f(x_0))$ of the point $f(x_0)$ (in Y) there exists a nonempty open set $U \subset U(x_0)$ such that $f(U) \subset V(f(x_0))$. A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be quasi-continuous on X if it is quasi-continuous at each point $x \in X$. (See [6] and [9].)

In the sequel S(X, Y) and Q(X, Y) denote the sets of all functions $f: X \to Y$ which are somewhat continuous and quasicontinuous on X, respectively.

In the paper it is supposed that Y is a Hausdorff space which has at least two elements.

1. Stationary sets for the class of somewhat continuous functions

In the following theorem we give a characterization of the family of all stationary sets for the class of somewhat continuous functions.

1.1. Theorem. Let A be a nonempty subset of a topological space X. Then A is a stationary set for the class S(X, Y) if and only if every nonempty open subset of the set X - Cl A is dense in X.

Proof. Sufficiency. By contradiction. Let every nonempty open subset of the set $X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ be dense in X. Let $f \in S(X, Y)$, f(x) = a for $x \in A$, $f(b) \neq a$ for some $b \in X - A$. Choose open disjoint neighbourhoods U and V of the points a and f(b), respectively. Then $G = \operatorname{Int} f^{-1}(U)$ and $H = \operatorname{Int} f^{-1}(V)$ are nonempty and disjoint. Since $A \subset X - H$ and H is open, we have $H \subset X - \operatorname{Cl} A$. Then, by the assumption, H is dense in X. Therefore $G \cap H \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Necessity. Deny. Suppose that there exists a nonempty open set $W \subset X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ which is not dense in X. Choose $u, v \in Y$ such that $u \neq v$. Define the function $f: X \to Y$ as follows

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} u & \text{for } x \in W, \\ v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f is somewhat continuous function which is constant on A, but f is not constant on X. Hence the set A is not stationary for the class S(X, Y). The proof is complete.

- 1.2. Remark. Let X be a topological space. Then every dense subset of X is a stationary set for the class S(X, Y).
- 1.3. Definition. An open almost-base for a space X is a family \mathscr{A} of open subsets of X such that every nonempty open subset of X contains some nonempty $A \in \mathscr{A}$ (see [4]).
- **1.4.** Theorem. Let X be a topological space which is not antidiscrete. Then every stationary set for the class S(X, Y) is dense in X if and only if the family of all open subsets of X which are not dense in X is an almost-base for X.

Proof. Sufficiency. Deny. Let A be a stationary set for the class S(X, Y) which is not dense in X. Evidently $X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ is a nonempty open set and by Theorem 1.1 we have that every nonempty open subset of the set $X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ is dense in X.

Necessity. Deny. Suppose that there exists a nonempty open set U in X such that every nonempty open subset of this set is dense in X. Let V be a nonempty open proper subset of X. Since U is dense in X, the set $W = U \cap V$ is nonempty. By Theorem 1.1 the set X - W is stationary for the class S(X, Y). But X - W is not dense in X. The proof is complete.

By. 1.4 we have the following theorem.

1.5. Theorem. Let A be a subset of a Hausdorff space X. Then A is a stationary set for the class S(X, Y) if and only if A is dense in X.

- 1.6. Definition. A space X is said to be hyperconnected if every nonempty open set is dense in X (see [7]).
- **1.7. Theorem.** Let X be a topological space. Then X is hyperconnected if and only if each somewhat continuous function $f: X \to Y$ is constant on X.

Proof. It is sufficient to take into account the following reason. If X is not hyperconnected, then there is a set $A \subset X$ such that Int $A \neq \emptyset \neq I$ int I is a set I in the following reason. If X is not hyperconnected, then there is a set I is a set I in the following reason. If I is not hyperconnected, then there is a set I is a set I is a set I is a set I in the following reason. If I is not hyperconnected, then there is a set I is a set I is a set I in the following reason. If I is not hyperconnected, then there is a set I in the following reason. If I is a set I is a set I is a set I in the set I is a set I in the following reason.

1.8. Corollary. Let X be a hyperconnected space. Then every nonempty subset of X is a stationary set for the class S(X, Y).

The following example shows that the assumption "Hausdorff space" in the Theorem 1.5 cannot be replaced by the assumption " T_1 -space".

1.9. Example. Let X be an infinite countably set with the cofinite topology. Evidently X is a T_1 -space. Since X is hyperconnected, by 1.8 each nonempty finite set is a stationary set for the class S(X, Y), but it is not dense in X.

2. Stationary sets for the class of quasi-continuous functions

2.1. Definition. Let A be a subset of a topological space X. The set A is regular open if A = Int Cl A (see [8]).

In the following theorem we give a characterization of the family of all stationary sets for the class of quasi-continuous functions.

2.2. Theorem. Let A be a nonempty subset of a topological space X. Then A is a stationary set for the class Q(X, Y) if and only if the set X - Cl A has not a nonempty regular open subset.

Proof. Sufficiency. By contradiction. Suppose that the set $X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ has not a nonempty regular open subset. Let $f \in Q(X, Y)$, f(x) = a for $x \in A$, $f(b) \neq a$ for some $b \in X - A$. Choose open disjoint neighbourhoods U and V of the points a and f(b), respectively. Since f is quasi-continuous at the point b, there exists a nonempty open set W in X such that $f(W) \subset V$. Since Int Cl W is nonempty regular open, by the assumption we have $A \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl} W \neq \emptyset$. Choose a point c in this intersection. Since f is quasi-continuous at the point c, there exists a nonempty open set $G \subset \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Cl} W$ such that $f(G) \subset U$. Since $G \subset \operatorname{Cl} W$, we have $G \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\emptyset \neq f(G \cap W) \subset U \cap V = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Necessity. Deny. Suppose that the set $X - Cl\ A$ has a nonempty regular open subset W. Choose $u, v \in Y$ such that $u \neq v$. Define the function $f: X \to Y$ as follows

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} u & \text{for } x \in W, \\ v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that $f \in Q(X, Y)$. Evidently f is constant on A, but it is not constant on X. The proof is complete.

In the following we give some corollaries of this theorem.

2.3. Theorem. Let X be a topological space. Then every stationary set for the class Q(X, Y) is dense in X if and only if the family of all regular open sets is an almost-base for X.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let A be a stationary set for the class Q(X, Y). Then by Theorem 2.2 the set $X - \operatorname{Cl} A$ has not a nonempty regular open subset. Hence by hypothesis we have $X - \operatorname{Cl} A = \emptyset$. Thus A is dense in X.

Necessity. Let U be a nonempty open proper subset of X. Evidently the set X-U is not dense in X, then by hypothesis X-U is not stationary for the class Q(X, Y). By Theorem 2.2 we have that the set U = X - Cl(X - U) has a nonempty regular open subset. Hence the desired property is ensured.

2.4. Theorem. Let A be a subset of a regular space X. Then A is a stationary set for the class Q(X, Y) if and only if A is dense in X.

Proof. Let U be a nonempty open set. Take an arbitrary $u \in U$. Since X is regular, there exists an open set V such that $u \in V \subset Cl\ V \subset U$. Put $W = Int\ Cl\ V$. Then W is a nonempty regular open set such that $W \subset U$. By 2.3 every stationary set for Q(X, Y) is dense. The converse is trivial.

The following example shows that the assumption "regular space" in Theorem 2.4 cannot be replaced by the assumption "Hausdorff space".

2.5. Example. Let X be the set of all real numbers. Denote by D the set of all rational numbers. Define a topology τ on X generated from the Euclidean topology on R by the addition of all sets of the form $D \cap U$ where U is an open set in the Euclidean topology on R. By Theorem 2.3 there exists a stationary set for the class Q(X, Y) which is not dense in X, but X is a Hausdorff space.

The following example shows that there exists a Hausdorff space X such that the statement of Theorem 2.4 is true, but X is not regular.

2.6. Example. Let X be the set of all real numbers and $A = \{1, n; n = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$. Define a topology τ on X by letting $G \in \tau$ if G = U - B where $B \subset A$ and U is an open set in the Euclidean topology on R. Then by Theorem 2.3 the desired property is ensured. But X is a Hausdorff space which is not regular.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOBOC, N. and MARCUS, S.: Sur la détermination d'une fonction par les valeurs prises sur un certain ensemble, Ann. Sci. Écol Norm. Sup. 76, 1959, 151—159.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.: Differentiation of Real Functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978.
- [3] BRUCKNER, A. M. and LEONARD, J. L.: Derivatives, Amer. Math. Monthly 73, 1966, 24--56.
- [4] FROLÍK, Z.: Baire spaces and some generalizations of complete metric spaces, Czech. Math. J. 11, 86, 1961, 237 –248.
- [5] GENTRY, K. R. and HOYLE, H. B.: Somewhat continuous functions, Czech. Math. J. 21, 96, 1971, 5 12.
- [6] KEMPISTY, S.: Sur les fonctions quasicontinues, Fund. Math. 19, 1932, 184-197.
- [7] NOIRI, T: A note on hyperconnected sets, Matematički Vesnik 16, 31, 1979, 53—60.
- [8] PRAKASH, A and SRIVASTAVA, P.: Somewhat continuity and some other generalizations of continuity, Math. Slovaca 27, 1977, 243 -248.
- [9] THIELMAN, H.: Types of functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 60, 1953, 156—161.

Received March 10, 1983

Katedra matematiky Vysokej školy technickej Švermova 9 040 01 Košice

ОБ СТАЦИОНАРНЫХ МНОЖЕСТВАХ ДЛЯ НЕКОТОРЫХ ОБОБЩЕНИЙ НЕПРЕРЫВНОСТИ

Jozef Doboš

Резюме

В настоящей работе мы предлагаем характеризацию стационарных множеств для некоторых обобщений непрерывности (для классов немножко-непрерывных функций и квазинепрерывных функций).